Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

New error bounds for linear complementarity problems of Nekrasov matrices and B-Nekrasov matrices

Chaoqian Li · Pingfan Dai · Yaotang Li

arXiv:1606.06838v2 [math.NA] 19 Jul 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract New error bounds for the linear complementarity problems are given respectively when the involved matrices are Nekrasov matrices and B-Nekrasov matrices. Numerical examples are given to show that the new bounds are better respectively than those provided by García-Esnaola and Peña in [15,16] in some cases.

Keywords Error bounds · Linear complementarity problem · Nekrasov matrices · B-Nekrasov matrices · P-matrices

1 Introduction

Linear complementarity problem LCP(M, q) is to find a vector $x \in R^n$ such that

$$x \geq 0, Mx + q \geq 0, (Mx + q)^T x = 0 \tag{1}$$

or to show that no such vector x exists, where $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ and $q \in R^n$. The LCP(M, q) has various applications in the Nash equilibrium point of a bimatrix game, the contact problem and the free boundary problem for journal bearing, for details, see [1,5,21].

This work is partly supported by National Natural Science Foundations of China (11361074), Natural Science Foundations of Zhejiang Province of China (LY14A010007) and Natural Science Foundations of Fujian Province (2016J01028)

Chaoqian Li · Yaotang Li
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650091, PR China
E-mail: lichaoqian@ynu.edu.cn, liyaotang@ynu.edu.cn

Pingfan Dai
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xian Jiaotong University, Xian, Shaanxi 710049, P. R. China
Department of Information Engineering, Sanming University, Sanming, Fujian 365004, P. R. China E-mail: daipf2004@163.com

The LCP(M,q) has a unique solution for any $q \in R^n$ if and only if M is a P-matrix [5]. We here say a matrix $M \in R^{n,n}$ is a P-matrix if all its principal minors are positive. In [3], Chen and Xiang gave the following error bound of the LCP(M,q) when M is a P-matrix:

$$||x-x^*||_\infty \leq \max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty ||r(x)||_\infty,$$

where x^* is the solution of the LCP(M,q), $r(x) = \min\{x, Mx+q\}$, $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, $d = [d_1, \cdots, d_n]^T \in [0,1]^n$ denotes $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ for each $i \in N$, and the min operator $r(x)$ denotes the componentwise minimum of two vectors. Furthermore, if M is a certain structure matrix, such as an H-matrix with positive diagonals [3,4,12,13,15], a B-matrix [6,11], a DB-matrix [7], an SB-matrix [8,9], a B^S-matrix [14], an MB-matrix [2], and a B-Nekrasov matrix [16], then some corresponding results on the bound of $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ can be derived; for details, see [2,3,4,7,8,9,10, 12,13,14,15].

In this paper, we focus on the bound of $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, and give its new bounds when M is a Nekrasov matrix with positive diagonals and a B-Nekrasov matrix, respectively. Numerical examples are given to show the new bounds are respectively better than those in [15] and [16] in some cases.

2 Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of Nekrasov matrices

García-Esnaola and Peña in [15] provided the following bound for $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, when M is a Nekrasov matrix with positive diagonals. Here, a matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in C^{n,n}$ is called a Nekrasov matrix [17,18] if for each $i \in N = \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$,

$$|a_{ii}| > h_i(A),$$

where $h_1(A) = \sum_{j\neq 1} |a_{1j}|$ and $h_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{|a_{ij}|}{|a_{jj}|} h_j(A) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |a_{ij}|$, $i = 2,3,\ldots,n$.

Theorem 1 [15, Theorem 3] *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n,n}$ be a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ii} > 0$ for $i \in N$ such that for each $i = 1,2,\ldots,n-1$, $m_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $j > i$. Let $W = diag(w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ with $w_i = \frac{h_i(M)}{m_{ii}}$ for $i = 1,2\ldots,n-1$ and $w_n = \frac{h_n(M)}{m_{nn}} + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in \left(0, 1 - \frac{h_n(M)}{m_{nn}}\right)$. Then*

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max\left\{ \frac{\max\limits_{i\in N} w_i}{\min\limits_{i\in N} s_i}, \frac{\max\limits_{i\in N} w_i}{\min\limits_{i\in N} w_i} \right\}, \tag{2}$$

where for each $i = 1,2,\ldots,n-1$, $s_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |m_{ij}|(1-w_j)$ and $s_n = \varepsilon m_{nn}$.

It is not difficult to see that when $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n,n}$ is a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ij} = 0$ for any $j > i$ and for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, Theorem 1 cannot be used to estimate $\max\limits_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, and that when $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$s_n = \varepsilon m_{nn} \to 0 \ and \ \min_{i\in N} s_i \to 0,$$

which gives the bound

$$\max\left\{\frac{\max\limits_{i\in N} w_i}{\min\limits_{i\in N} s_i}, \frac{\max\limits_{i\in N} w_i}{\min\limits_{i\in N} w_i}\right\} \to +\infty.$$

These facts show that in some cases the bound in Theorem 1 is not always effective to estimate $\max\limits_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a Nekrasov matrix with positive diagonals. To conquer these two drawbacks, we next give a new bound which only depends on the entries of M. Before that, some results on Nekrasov matrices which will be used later are given as follows.

Lemma 1 *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in C^{n,n}$ be a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ii} > 0$ for $i \in N$ and let $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM = [\tilde{m}_{ij}]$ where $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \le d_i \le 1$. Then $\tilde{M}$ is a Nekrasov matrix. Furthermore, for each $i \in N$,*

$$\frac{h_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii}} \le \frac{h_i(M)}{m_{ii}}. \tag{3}$$

Proof We prove that (3) holds by mathematical induction, and then (3) immediately implies that $\tilde{M}$ is a Nekrasov matrix. Note that

$$\tilde{m}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 - d_i + d_i m_{ij}, & i = j, \\ d_i m_{ij}, & i \ne j. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for each $i \in N$,

$$\frac{d_i}{\tilde{m}_{ii}} = \frac{d_i}{1 - d_i + d_i m_{ii}} \le \frac{1}{m_{ii}}, \ for \ 0 \le d_i \le 1, \ i \in N.$$

Then we have that for $i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_1(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{11}} &= \frac{d_1 \sum\limits_{j\ne 1} |m_{ij}|}{1 - d_1 + m_{11} d_1} \\ &\le \frac{\sum\limits_{j\ne 1} |m_{ij}|}{m_{11}} \\ &= \frac{h_1(M)}{m_{11}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that (3) holds for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$ and $k < n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{k+1}(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{k+1,k+1}} &= \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}| \frac{h_j(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{jj}} + \sum\limits_{j=k+2}^{n} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}|}{\tilde{m}_{k+1,k+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum\limits_{j=k+2}^{n} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}|}{\tilde{m}_{k+1,k+1}} \\
&= \frac{d_{k+1}\left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} |m_{k+1,j}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum\limits_{j=k+2}^{n} |m_{k+1j}|\right)}{1 - d_{k+1} + m_{k+1,k+1} d_{k+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} |m_{k+1,j}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum\limits_{j=k+2}^{n} |m_{k+1j}|}{m_{k+1,k+1}} \\
&= \frac{h_{k+1}(M)}{m_{k+1,k+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

by mathematical induction we have that for each $i \in N$, (3) holds. Furthermore, the fact that M is a Nekrasov matrix yields

$$\frac{h_i(M)}{m_{ii}} < 1 \ for \ each \ i \in N.$$

By (3) we can conclude that

$$\frac{h_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii}} < 1 \ for \ each \ i \in N,$$

equivalently, $|\tilde{m}_{ii}| > h_i(\tilde{M})$ for each $i \in N$, consequently, $\tilde{M}$ is a Nekrasov matrix.

Lemma 2 *[19, Lemma 3] Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\eta \geq 0$. Then for any $x \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\frac{1}{1 - x + \gamma x} \leq \frac{1}{\min\{\gamma, 1\}} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\frac{\eta x}{1 - x + \gamma x} \leq \frac{\eta}{\gamma}. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2 will be used in the proofs of the following lemma and of Theorem 2.

Lemma 3 *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in C^{n,n}$ be a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ii} > 0$ for $i \in N$ and let $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM = [\tilde{m}_{ij}]$ where $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$. Then*

$$z_i(\tilde{M}) \leq \eta_i(M) \tag{6}$$

and

$$\frac{z_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii}} \leq \frac{\eta_i(M)}{\min\{m_{ii}, 1\}}, \tag{7}$$

where $z_1(\tilde{M}) = \eta_1(M) = 1$, $z_i(\tilde{M}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{|\tilde{m}_{ij}|}{|\tilde{m}_{jj}|} z_j(\tilde{M}) + 1$, *and*

$$\eta_i(M) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{|m_{ij}|}{\min\{|m_{jj}|, 1\}} \eta_j(M) + 1, \ i = 2, 3 \ldots, n.$$

Proof We only prove (6), and (7) follows from the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{m}_{ii}} = \frac{1}{1 - d_i + d_i m_{ii}} \leq \frac{1}{\min\{m_{ii}, 1\}} \ for \ i \in N.$$

Note that

$$z_1(\tilde{M}) \leq \eta_1(M).$$

We now suppose that (6) holds for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$ and $k < n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k+1}(\tilde{M}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}| \frac{z_j(\tilde{M})}{|\tilde{m}_{jj}|} + 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\tilde{m}_{k+1,j}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj}, 1\}} + 1 \\ &= d_{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |m_{k+1,j}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj}, 1\}} + 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} |m_{k+1,j}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj}, 1\}} + 1 \\ &= \eta_{k+1}(M), \end{aligned}$$

by mathematical induction we have that for each $i \in N$, (6) holds.

Lemma 4 [17, Theorem 2] *Let* $A = [a_{ij}] \in C^{n,n}$ *be a Nekrasov matrix. Then*

$$||A^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max_{i \in N} \frac{z_i(A)}{|a_{ii}| - h_i(A)}, \tag{8}$$

where $z_1(A) = 1$ *and* $z_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{|a_{ij}|}{|a_{jj}|} z_j(A) + 1, i = 2, 3 \ldots, n.$

By Lemmas 1, 2, 3 and 4, we can obtain the following bound for $\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a Nekrasov matrix.

Theorem 2 *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n,n}$ be a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ii} > 0$ for $i \in N$ and let $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM$ where $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \le d_i \le 1$. Then*

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||\tilde{M}^{-1}||_\infty \le \max_{i\in N} \frac{\eta_i(M)}{\min\{m_{ii} - h_i(M), 1\}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\eta_i(M)$ is defined in Lemma 3.

Proof Let $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM = [\tilde{m}_{ij}]$. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have that $\tilde{M}$ is a Nekrasov matrix, and

$$||\tilde{M}^{-1}||_\infty \le \max_{i\in N} \frac{z_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii} - h_i(\tilde{M})}. \tag{10}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_1(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{11} - h_1(\tilde{M})} &= \frac{1}{\tilde{m}_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |\tilde{m}_{1j}|} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - d_1 + m_{11}d_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |m_{1j}|d_1} \\
&\le \frac{1}{\min\{m_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |m_{1j}|, 1\}} \\
&= \frac{\eta_1(M)}{\min\{m_{11} - h_1(M), 1\}}
\end{aligned}$$

and for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, we have by Lemma 3 and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii} - h_i(\tilde{M})} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \frac{z_j(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{jj}} + 1}{\tilde{m}_{ii} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \frac{h_j(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{jj}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \right)} \\
&\le \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj},1\}} + 1}{\tilde{m}_{ii} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\tilde{m}_{ij}| \right)} \\
&= \frac{d_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj},1\}} + 1}{1 - d_i + m_{ii}d_i - d_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |m_{ij}| \right)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| \frac{\eta_j(M)}{\min\{m_{jj},1\}} + 1}{1 - d_i + m_{ii}d_i - d_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |m_{ij}| \frac{h_j(M)}{m_{jj}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |m_{ij}| \right)}$$
$$\leq \frac{\eta_i(M)}{\min\{m_{ii} - h_i(M), 1\}}.$$

Therefore, by (10) we have

$$||\tilde{M}^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max_{i\in N} \frac{z_i(\tilde{M})}{\tilde{m}_{ii} - h_i(\tilde{M})} \leq \max_{i\in N} \frac{\eta_i(M)}{\min\{m_{ii} - h_i(M), 1\}}.$$

The conclusion follows.

Remark here that when $m_{ii} = 1$ for all $i \in N$ in Theorem 2, then

$$\min\{m_{ii} - h_i(M), 1\} = 1 - h_i(M),$$

which yields the following result.

Corollary 1 *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n,n}$ be a Nekrasov matrix with $m_{ii} = 1$ for $i \in N$ and let $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM$ where $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$. Then*

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||\tilde{M}^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max_{i\in N} \frac{\eta_i(M)}{1 - h_i(M)}.$$

Example 1 Consider the following matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{10} & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{10} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{10} & 1.5 & -\frac{1}{10} \\ -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} & 1.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By computations,

$$h_1(M) = 1.1000 < |m_{11}|, h_2(M) = 0.6220 < |m_{22}|,$$

$$h_3(M) = 0.2411 < |m_{33}|, \text{ and } h_4(M) = 0.3410 < |m_{44}|.$$

Hence, M is a Nekrasov matrix. The diagonal matrix W in Theorem 1 is given by

$$W = diag\,(0.2200, 0.3110, 0.1607, 0.2842 + \varepsilon)$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.7158)$. Hence, by Theorem 1 we can get the bound (2) involved with $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.7158)$ for $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, which is drawn in Figure 1. Furthermore, by Theorem 2, we can get that the bound (9) for $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ is 3.6414. It is easy to see from Figure 1 that the bound in Theorem 2 is smaller than that in Theorem 1 (Theorem 3 in [15]) in some cases.



Fig. 1 The bounds in Theorems 1 and 2

Example 2 Consider the following Nekrasov matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $m_{34} = 0$, we cannot use the bound (2) in Theorem 1. However, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq 15.$$

3 Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of B-Nekrasov matrices

The class of B-Nekrasov matrices is introduced by García-Esnaola and Peña [16] as a subclass of P-matrices. We say that M is a B-Nekrasov matrix if it can be written as

$$M = B^+ + C, \tag{11}$$

where

$$B^+ = [b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} - r_1^+ & \cdots & m_{1n} - r_1^+ \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n1} - r_n^+ & \cdots & m_{nn} - r_n^+ \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^+ & \cdots & r_1^+ \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ r_n^+ & \cdots & r_n^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

with $r_i^+ = \max\{0, m_{ij}|j \neq i\}$ and B^+ is a Nekrasov matrix whose diagonal entries are all positive. Obviously, B^+ is a Z-matrix and C is a nonnegative matrix of rank 1 [1,16]. Also in [16], García-Esnaola and Peña provided the following error bound for LCP(M, q) when M is a B-Nekrasov matrix.

Theorem 3 [16, Theorem 2] *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n,n}$ be a B-Nekrasov matrix such that for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ there exists $k > i$ with $m_{ik} < \max\{0, m_{ij}|j \neq i\} = r_i^+$, let B^+ be the matrix of (11) and let $W = diag(w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ with $w_i = \frac{h_i(B^+)}{m_{ii} - r_i^+}$ for $i = 1, 2 \ldots, n-1$ and $w_n = \frac{h_n(B^+)}{m_{nn} - r_n^+} + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in \left(0, 1 - \frac{h_n(B^+)}{m_{nn} - r_n^+}\right)$, such that $\bar{B} = B^+W = [\bar{b}_{ij}]$ is a strictly diagonally dominant Z-matrix. Let $\beta_i = \bar{b}_{ii} - \sum_{j \neq i} |\bar{b}_{ij}|$ and $\delta_i = \frac{\beta_i}{w_i}$ for $i \in N$, and $\delta = \min_{i \in N} \delta_i$. Then*

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{(n-1) \max_{i \in N} w_i}{\min\{\delta, 1\} \min\{w_i\}}. \tag{12}$$

Remark here that the bound (12) in Theorem 3 has some drawbacks because it is involved with a parameter ε in the interval $\left(0, 1 - \frac{h_n(B^+)}{m_{nn} - r_n^+}\right)$ and it is not easy to decide the optimum value of ε in general. Based on the results obtained in Section 2, we next give a new bound, which only depends on the entries of M, for $\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a B-Nekrasov matrix.

Theorem 4 *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n \times n}$ be a B-Nekrasov matrix, and let $B^+ = [b_{ij}]$ be the matrix of (11). Then*

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max_{i \in N} \frac{(n-1)\eta_i(B^+)}{\min\{b_{ii} - h_i(B^+), 1\}}, \tag{13}$$

where $\eta_1(B^+) = 1$, and

$$\eta_i(B^+) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{|b_{ij}|}{\min\{b_{jj}, 1\}} \eta_j(B^+) + 1, \ i = 2, 3 \ldots, n.$$

Proof Since M is a B-Nekrasov matrix, $M = B^+ + C$ as in (11), with B^+ being a Nekrasov Z-matrix with positive diagonal entries. Given a diagonal matrix $D = diag(d_i)$, with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, we have $\tilde{M} = I - D + DM = (I - D + DB^+) + DC = \tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}$, where $\tilde{B}^+ = I - D + DB^+$ and $\tilde{C} = DC$. By Theorem 2 in [16], we can easily have

$$||\tilde{M}^{-1}||_\infty \leq || \left(I + (\tilde{B}^+)^{-1}\tilde{C}\right)^{-1} ||_\infty ||(\tilde{B}^+)^{-1}||_\infty \leq (n-1)||(\tilde{B}^+)^{-1}||_\infty. \tag{14}$$

Next, we give a upper bound for $||(\tilde{B}^+)^{-1}||_\infty$. Note that B^+ is a Nekrasov matrix and $\tilde{B}^+ = I - D + DB^+$. By Lemma 1, $\tilde{B}^+$ is also a Nekrasov matrix. By Theorem 2, we easily get

$$||(\tilde{B}^+)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \max_{i \in N} \frac{\eta_i(B^+)}{\min\{b_{ii} - h_i(B^+), 1\}}. \tag{15}$$

From (14) and (15), the conclusion follows.

Example 3 Consider the following matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to check that M is not an H-matrix, consequently, not a Nekrasov matrix, so we cannot use the bounds in [12], and bounds in Theorems 1 and 2. On the other hand, M can be written $M = B^+ + C$ as in (11), with

$$B^+ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{3}{5} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \ C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, B^+ is not strictly diagonally dominant and M is not a B-matrix, so we cannot apply the bound in [11]. However, B^+ is a Nekrasov matrix and so M is a B-Nekrasov matrix. The diagonal matrix W of Theorem 3 is given by

$$W = diag\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6} + \varepsilon\right)$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$. Hence, by Theorem 3 we can get the bound (12) involved with $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$ for $\max\limits_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, which is drawn in Figure 2. Meanwhile, by Theorem 4, we can get the bound (13) for $\max\limits_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$, is 126.0000. It is easy to see from Figures 2 and 3 that the bound in Theorem 4 is smaller than that in Theorem 3 (Theorem 2 in [16]).

Example 4 Consider the following matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And M can be written $M = B^+ + C$ as in (11), with

$$B^+ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{3}{5} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \ C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By computations,

$$h_1(B^+) = 0, h_2(B^+) = \frac{3}{5}, \ h_3(B^+) = \frac{1}{6}, \ h_4(B^+) = \frac{1}{24}.$$



Fig. 2 The bounds in Theorems 3 and 4



Fig. 3 The bounds in Theorems 3 and 4 with $\varepsilon \in [0.02, 0.14]$

Obviously, B^+ is a Nekrasov matrix and then M is a B-Nekrasov matrix. Since for any $k > 1$, $m_{1k} = r_1^+ = \frac{1}{2}$, we cannot use the bound of Theorem 3 (Theorem 2 in [16]). However, by Theorem 4, we have

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{126}{5}.$$

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees and Prof. Lei Gao for their valuable suggestions and comments to improve the original manuscript.

References

1. A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrix in the Mathematical Sciences.* SIAM Publisher, Philadelphia, 1994.
2. T.T. Chen, W. Li, X. Wu, S. Vong. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of MB-matrices. *Numer. Algor.*, 70(2):341-356, 2015.
3. X.J. Chen and S.H. Xiang. Computation of error bounds for P-matrix linear complementarity problems. *Math. Program., Ser. A* 106:513-525, 2006.
4. X.J. Chen and S.H. Xiang. Perturbation bounds of P-matrix linear complementarity problems. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 18:1250-1265, 2007.
5. R.W. Cottle, J.S. Pang, R.E. Stone. *The Linear Complementarity Problem.* Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.
6. J.M. Peña. A class of P-matrices with applications to the localization of the eigenvalues of a real matrix. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 22:1027-1037, 2001.
7. P.F. Dai. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of DB-matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434:830-840, 2011.
8. P.F. Dai, Y.T. Li and C.J. Lu. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for SB-matrices. *Numer. Algor.*, 61:121-139, 2012.
9. P.F. Dai, C.J. Lu and Y.T. Li. New error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with an SB-matrix. *Numer. Algor.*, 64(4):741-757, 2013.
10. P.F. Dai, J.C Li, Y.T. Li and C.Y. Zhang. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of QN-matrices, Calcolo, DOI:10.1007/s10092-015-0167-7.
11. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22:1071-1075, 2009.
12. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. A comparison of error bounds for linear complementarity problems of H-matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433,956-964, 2010.
13. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with a Σ-SDD matrix. *Linear Algebra and its Applications,* 438(3): 1339-1346, 2013.
14. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems involving B^S-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25(10):1379-1383, 2012.
15. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of Nekrasov matrices. *Numer. Algor.*, 67:655-667, 2014.
16. M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. B-Nekrasov matrices and error bounds for linear complementarity problems, *Numer. Algor.*, 72(2):435-445, 2016.
17. L.YU. Kolotilina, On bounding inverse to Nekrasov matrices in the infinity norm, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI.* 419:111-120, 2013.
18. W. Li, On Nekrasov matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 281:87-96, 1998.
19. C.Q. Li, Y.T. Li. Note on error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices, *Applied Mathematics Letter*, 57:108-113, 2016.
20. C.Q. Li, Y.T. Li. Weakly chained diagonally dominant B-matrices and error bounds for linear complementarity problems, *Numer. Algor.*, DOI:10.1007/s11075-016-0125-8.
21. K.G. Murty. *Linear Complementarity, Linear and Nonlinear Programming.* Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.